ADMINISTRATIVE-PATERNAL USE ONLY

10/4 Pogistry File Lersonul 5

31 October 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR:

All Office Heads

SUBJECT

: Questionnaire on Implementation

of PASG Recommendations

- 1. Attached is a questionnaire sent to each Directorate to measure their progress on the implementation of the PASG recommendations. The questions concerning the Career Service refer to the Directorate-level Career Service and the references to Boards/Panels refer to your Career Boards and Panels.
- 2. The questionnaire will be completed for the Deputy Director for Administration by the Career Management Officer, however, we need your responses to the questions in Section II B. You are being furnished the entire package for your information as this will give you an idea of the scope of the problems facing the Career Sub-Groups and the Senior Personnel Resources Board before we accomplish the goals of the PASG recommendations.
- 3. We would appreciate your responses by COB, Tuesday, 5 November 1974.

Career Management Officer
DD/A

STAT

Attachment

Approved For Pologge 2000/04/47

Approved For Release 2006/04/17: CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0 Date SURVEY OF PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING THE NEW PERSONNEL APPROACHES*

Career Service

I

. OB	JECTIVE: Develop and disseminate uniform promotion criteria.
Α.	Does the Career Service presently have uniform promotion criteria?
	yes no
	1. If yes, how long has it had them?
	weeks months years (Underline one)
	 If no, has a target date been set for completing the develop- ment of uniform promotion criteria?
	yes no
	3. Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service reviewed the promotion criteria of these sub-groups?
	yes no
	4. Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service determined what, if any, promotion criteria must be used without modification or exception by all sub-groups?
	yes no
В.	Has the Career Service examined and reaffirmed the value of its promotion policies and criteria?
	yes no
C.	Have all Career Service and (as applicable) Career Sub-Group board/panel members been given appropriate promotion policy and promotion criteria information?
	yes no
D.	Have all members of the Career Service been informed with respect to Career Service and (as applicable) Career Sub-Group promotion policies and promotion criteria as it applies to their individual grade and occupational category?
	yes no

^{*} Response to questions should reflect the Career Service situation as of 30 September 1974.

II.	to	ECT1 cond	duct, at least an	n appropriate pane nually, the evalu	el structure and procedure ation and ranking of professional	
	Α.		s the Career Serv nk all profession		ards/panels to evaluate and	
			yes		no	
		1.	If not, has the establishment o		t a target date for the	
			yes		no	
		2.	each employee is	s properly identif	ts membership to ensure that ied according to sub-category nical, or clerical?	
			yes		no	
		3.		Service establishe lerical employees?	d panels to evaluate and rank	
			yes (technica	al) 🗌 no (technic	al) \square yes (clerical) \square no (clerical	i)
		4.	If not, does the		nticipate providing a structure	
			yes		no	
	В.	In fol	terms of board/pa lowing questions.	anel evaluation pr	ocedures, please answer the	
		1.	Do the evaluation made by supervis	on boards/panels r sors?	eview promotion recommendations	
			yes		no	
		2.	Do they make pro	omotion recommenda	tions?	
			yes		no	
		3.	Does inadequate consideration fo	time-in-grade maker promotion?	e an employee ineligible for	
			yes		no	
		4.	What is the term	of office of pane	el members?	
	u.		V C SA	No. 0	f years.	
		5.	Is it required t field of employe	chat panel members ees being evaluated	be of higher grade than the d?	
			yes		no.	

Approved For Release 2006/04/17: CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0

		6.	How often are	evaluations cond	lucted?	
			Annual	ly	Semiannual	lly
		7.	Have employees	been notified w	ho their evaluatir	ng officers are?
			yes		no	
		8.	Do the panels performance, a	use rating scale nd potential?	s for evaluation c	of qualifications,
			yes		no	
III.	tha	t wil	/E: Provide th Il identify emp n between.	e evaluation pan loyees with the	els with uniform r highest and least	anking criteria potential and
	Α.	Has prom	the Career Ser notion criteria	vice identified) applicable thr	uniform ranking cr oughout the Career	iteria (not Service?
			yes		no no	
	В.	Has crit	the Career Ser ceria used to ra	vice provided fo ank employees to	r the systematic s verify their vali	tudy of the dity?
			yes		no no	
	С.	Does to m	s the Career Sen neasure employed	rvice have expre e career potenti	ssly $\stackrel{ imes}{stated}$ criteral?	ia designed
			yes		no no	
	D.	Has cons	the Career Servititutes "the lo	vice established owest ranking em	a definition expl ployee(s)"?	aining what
			yes		no	
	E.	Has havi	the Career Serving the lowest m	vice established ranking will have	a procedure to en e this fact made k	sure that "those nown to them"?
			yes		no	
	F.	When the Grou	employee rankir	oups are involved ng technique and	d does the Career : procedure used by	Service review each of the Sub-
			yes		no	not applicable
IV.		CTIV viti	E: Provide for es and results.	periodic review	v by the Deputy of	evaluation
*	Α.	Proc eval	edures have bee uation activiti	en established to es.	give the Deputy a	a report of
			Not established	Annually	Semiannually	Quarterly

Approved For Release 2006/04/17 : CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0

	В.		results reported so that the rmation in making decisions r	Deputy (or equivalent) may use elative to his APP and PDP?
		ĺ	yes	no
٧.		ECTIVE: E	Establish Directorate personn	el objectives (through MBO,
	Α.	The Caree	er Service has explicit perso	nnel management objectives.
			yes	no
	В.		ervice personnel objectives a valent) prior to promulgation	
		[yes	no ·
	C.		in meeting objectives is mon equivalent).	itored periodically by the
			yes	no
VI.			Establish a program and crite ersonnel at the Career Servic	ria for the career management of e level.
	Α.		riteria have been establishe f supergrade personnel.	d for the evaluation and man-
		[yes	no
	В.	Supergrad	le rankings are consolidated	at the Career Service level.
		. [yes	no
	C.		ection with the PDP supergraded ded career counseling and pla	
		[yes	no
	D.			recommending and effecting the and without the Career Service.
			yes	no
11.		ECTIVE: C nseling so		nseling program and a visible
	Α.	Has a for	mal Career Service-wide coun	seling program been instituted?
*4			yes	no
	В.	Has the Coprogram?	areer Service informed its en	mployees about its counseling
			yes	no

-- Approved For Release 2006/04/17 : CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0

Approved For Release 2006/04/17 : CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0

C. Have members of the Career Service been told the names of the employee

		counselors they could go to on t assistance and guidance?	heir own initiative t	co seek job
		yes	no	
	D.	Are low-ranking employees receiv	ing counseling?	
		yes	no	
,	E.	Does the Career Service counseli of employees on a systematic bas		r the counseling
		yes	no	•
	F.	Have those persons designated as training for that role?	"counselors" been gi	ven any specific
		yes	no	
•	G.	Do the Career Service Sub-Groups	have a separate coun	seling program?
		yes	no	
VIII.	. OBJECTIVE: Organize logical groups below Directorate level on either a grade, function, program, etc., basis, which for their members, will implement the personnel policy guidances and instructions of the Director			
	Α.	Have "groups" been organized wit their members, would implement t instructions of the Directorate"	he personnel policy g	e "which for uidances and
		yes	no	
	В.	Have the former office-level car career sub-groups?	eer services been des	ignated as
		yes	no	not applicable
	C.	Have any non-office level "Caree sequent to the approval of this	r Sub-Groups" been or objective?	ganized sub-
		yes	no	
	D.	If not, does the Career Service such "Sub-Groups"?	anticipate the organi	zation of any
		yes	no	
ia	Ε.	If yes, specify the kind of Sub-	Group contemplated.	

IX.	OBJECTIVE: Establish Directorate standards for selecting candidates to attend senior schools or coursesgiving consideration to how the trainees will be utilized afterwards.				
	Α.	Have Directorate-wide s	tandards for senior schools been established?		
		yes	no		
	В.	Is the selection of can	didates based upon the PDP?		
		yes	no no		
	c.	Are employees notified	of the basis for selection?		
		yes	no		
	D.		ssignments of attendees to senior schools wn to the employee before training is undertaken?		
		never seldom	usually always.		
х.		ECTIVE: Establish Directernal full-time and part	torate policy and standards for approving -time training		
	Α.	Has the Career Service external training?	established policy and standards for approving		
		yes	no		
	В.	At what level is approve	al for such training?		
		Division Office	ce Career Service Branch		
	delines been promulgated?				
		yes	no		
	D.	Is external training app	proval meshed with PDP?		
		yes	no		
	Ε.	Do Evaluation boards/parindicate training defic	nels make training recommendations or iencies to supervisors?		
	•	yes	no		
XI.	and	for occupational position	um training standards for managerial positions ons and groups when training is considered unce and employee development.		
***	Α.	Has the Career Service ifiles where appropriate	nitiated action prepare to developmental pro- (PDP)?		
		yes	no ·		

Approved For Release 2006/04/17 : CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0

	B. Have a elemen	ts been identified?	positions and their most signifi ?	cant functional		
		yes	no			
XII.	OBJECTIVE: and rotation	Provide policy to onal tours.	o facilitate inter-Directorate tr	ansfers		
	A. Has the	e Career Service pu t discouraged from	ublicized a policy to ensure that requesting such assignments, if	employees qualified?		
	•	yes	no			
	B. Has you assign		e use of inter-Career Service dev	elopmental		
		yes	no			
	C. Has the mutual	e Career Service ne ly to identify posi	egotiated with other Career Servi tions to be used for development	ces in order al purposes?		
		yes	no			
XIII.	OBJECTIVE: Establish procedures and provide guidance for recommending honor and merit awards.					
		e Career Service pr ch recommendations?	comulgated amplifying guidelines	on policy		
		yes	no			
	B. Where a honors	applicable does the and awards initate	Career Service review recommended by Career Sub-Groups?	ations for		
		yes	no			
XIV.	OBJECTIVE: in Personal		for the annual review of supergr to effect corrective action when	ade personnel needed.		
	Does the Se status of s	enior Personnel Res supergrade personne	ources Board or other designee r l annually?	eview the		
		yes	no			
XV.	OBJECTIVE: counseling, of surplus	, consideration for	s to handle potential surplus carretraining and/or reassignment,	ses, including and notification		
	A. Have sp	pecific procedures	been developed to identify poten	tial surplus		
		yes	no			

Approved For Release 2006/04/17: CIA-RDP84-00780R006000050012-0 B. Are potentially surplus employees given preliminary notice, provided counseling, and given opportunity for retraining and/or reassignment as feasible? no C. Have all employees been made aware of the surplus procedures and of their rights under them? no yes OBJECTIVE: Establish a uniform grievance procedure within the Directorate. XVI. A. Does the Career Service have a formal grievance procedure to handle non-EEO issues? no B. Does the Career Service grievance procedure ensure that the employee understands his rights as a federal employee? yes C. Does the Career Service grievance procedure anticipate and provide for the possibility of employees seeking redress through the court? no yes D. Has the Career Service promulgated amplifying instructions on its grievance procedures?

PROBLEM AREAS

yes

Describe any obstacles you have encountered in implementing the new personnel approaches.

no

NOTES

This space is available for explaining, as desired, any answer relating to the 16 objectives.